The theoretical and practical limit of the residual field inside magnetic shielding

Zhiyin Sun^{1,2}, Peter Fierlinger³, Jiecai Han², Liyi Li² Tianhao Liu⁴, Allard Schnabel⁵, Stefan Stuiber³, Jens Voigt⁵

¹ Helmholtz-Institut Mainz, 55128 Mainz, Germany

² Laboratory for Space Environment and Physical Sciences, Harbin Institute of Technology, 150001 Harbin, China

³ Physikdepartment, Technische Universität München, D-85748 Garching, Germany
⁴ Department of Electrical Engineering, Harbin Institute of Technology, 150001 Harbin, China
⁵ Physikalisch-Technische Bundesanstalt Berlin, 10587 Berlin, Germany

Enclosures made of highly permeable and highly conductive materials are used as a passive shield to reduce the static magnetic field and magnetic disturbances for fundamental physics experiments and biomedical diagnostics. The absolute magnetic field inside strong shields is limited by the magnetization of the shielding material itself. The common way to decrease the field of the shielding walls is equilibration in the present background field. Based on the hysteresis behavior of the shielding material during the equilibration process, a method to calculate the limit of the residual field inside shields is introduced. The comparison of the theoretical limit with the dynamic simulations of the equilibration process shows that the coil arrangement can improve the residual field obtained after the same number of cycles. This is proved experimentally in a conventional 3 layer magnetically shielded room where the residual field is lowered close to the resolution of the SQUID based absolute field detector by the introduction of distributed demagnetization coils. A reproducible residual field of less than 130 pT within a central cube of 0.5 m length was achieved. This is the best residual field ever measured in such a volume.

[1] Jiles, D. C. and Atherton, D. L., Theory of ferromagnetic hysteresis (invited), Journal of Applied Physics 55, 6 (1984).

[2] Altarev, I. and Babcock, E., etal, A magnetically shielded room with ultra low residual field and gradient, Review of Scientific Instruments 85, 7 (2014).